



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
08/870,762	06/06/1997	BRADFORD J. DUFT	226/104US	7328
44638	7590	09/02/2010	EXAMINER	
Intellectual Property Department Amylin Pharmaceuticals, Inc. 9360 Towne Centre Drive San Diego, CA 92121			DEVI, SARVAMANGALA J N	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
1645		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
		09/02/2010	PAPER	

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	08/870,762	DUFT ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> S. Devi, Ph.D.	<b>Art Unit</b> 1645	

**All Participants:** **Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) S. Devi (USPTO). (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Attorney Timothy Torchia. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 31 August 2010

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description: *Applicant's amendment filed 08/02/2010.*

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

Attorney Torchia was informed that Applicant's amendment filed 08/02/10 is non-compliant under 37 CFR 1.121 in that single brackets, as opposed to double brackets, have been used to indicate deletion of certain limitations from the pending claims. To avoid the mailing of a Notice of Non-compliant Amendment, it was requested that Applicants file a supplemental amendment as soon as possible to correct the issue of non-compliance. Attorney Torchia agreed to file a supplemental amendment shortly.

/S. Devi/  
Primary Examiner  
AU 1645

08-31-2010